

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9				CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10				
11	UNIT	ΓED S'	TATE	S OF AMERICA,) Case No.: SACR 11-00132 - 17
12				Plaintiff, ORDER OF DETENTION
13	vs.			
14	ן זו זו זו ז	O CES	SAD W	ARGAS,
15	POLI	O CES	OAK V	Defendant.
16		<u> </u>)
17				I.
18	A.	(X)	On m	notion of the Government in a case allegedly involving:
19		1.	()	a crime of violence.
20		2.	()	an offense with maximum sentence of life imprisonment or death.
21		3.	(X)	a narcotics or controlled substance offense with maximum sentence
22				of ten or more years.
23		4.	()	any felony - where defendant convicted of two or more prior
24				offenses described above.
25		5.	(X)	any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence that involves a
26				minor victim, or possession or use of a firearm or destructive device
27			•	or any other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18
28				U.S.C. § 2250.

1	B.	(X)	On motion by the Government/() on Court's own motion, in a case				
2			allegedly involving:				
3		()	On the further allegation by the Government of:				
4			1. () a serious risk that the defendant will flee.				
5			2. () a serious risk that the defendant will:				
6			a. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.				
7			b. () threaten, injure or intimidate a prospective witness or				
8			juror, or attempt to do so.				
9	C.	The C	e Government (X) is/() is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no				
10		condi	tion or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's				
11		appearance as required and the safety or any person or the community.					
12							
13			II.				
14	A.	(X)	The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will				
15			reasonably assure:				
16		1.	(X) the appearance of the defendant as required.				
17			(X) and/or				
18		2.	(X) the safety of any person or the community.				
19	В.	(X)	The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence				
20			to the contrary the presumption provided by statute.				
21							
22		III.					
23		The C	Court has considered:				
24	A.	(X)	the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether				
25			the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves				
26			a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive				
27			device;				
28	B.	(X)	the weight of evidence against the defendant;				
			Page 2 of 4				

1	C.	(X)	the history and characteristics of the defendant; and					
2	D.	(X)	the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community.					
3								
4			IV.					
5		The Court also has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the						
6	arguments and/or statements of counsel, and the Pretrial Services							
7	Report/recommendation.							
8								
9			V.					
10		The C	Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:					
11	A.	(X)	As to flight risk: Defendant's lack of candor about his criminal history;					
12			Mexican citizenship, unemployed status, and convictions for evading a					
13			peace officer.					
14	В.	(X)	As to danger: The nature of the charged offenses and Defendant's criminal					
15			history.					
16								
17			VI.					
18	A.	()	The Court finds that a serious risk exists the defendant will:					
19			1. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.					
20			2. () attempt to/() threaten, injure or intimidate a witness or juror					
21	В.	The (Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:					
22								
23								
24								
25								
26			VI.					
27	A.		THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant be detained prior to trial.					
28	В.	IT IS	FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be committed to the custody of					
			Page 3 of 4					

1	the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the
2	extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in
3	custody pending appeal.
4	C. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be afforded reasonable
5	opportunity for private consultation with counsel.
6	D. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, on order of a Court of the United States or on
7	request of any attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the
8	corrections facility in which defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a
9	United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a
10	court proceeding.
11	
12	Dated: July 13, 2011/s/ Arthur Nakazato
13	ARTHUR NAKAZATO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	